Container glass manufacturing plants process sand, soda ash, and other raw materials into jars, bottles, vials, etc. for a wide range of uses. It is the largest segment of the glass industry in the United States (based on production) and is one of the most energy-intensive industrial processes. Additionally, it is a sector where energy costs represent a major percentage of operating costs.

The US Environmental Protection Agency’s ENERGY STAR partnership has worked with the glass industry since 2006 to promote energy efficiency and energy management best practices within the sector through the ENERGY STAR Industrial Focus initiative.

Energy Use Profile

Natural gas and electricity are the dominant energy sources used in container glass manufacturing.\(^2\)

### Energy Use Distribution

Electricity and natural gas use vary by plant size and product mix. The table below provides an estimate of total energy use for each plant size category.\(^3\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Energy Use</th>
<th>Total Energy (MMBtu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>~384,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>~1,505,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>~3,562,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electricity and fuel (natural gas) costs are roughly equivalent, as shown below. In 2013, the container glass industry spent over $220 million on fuels and over $225 million on electricity.\(^4\)

The steepness of this curve shows a fairly narrow range of energy performance between plants in the sector. This suggests that most plants have optimized their furnaces, the sector’s largest energy user. Smaller gains in energy performance may be achieved by making improvements in other process areas. Increasing the use of recycled glass (cullet) can also improve energy efficiency in container glass plants.
### Major Energy Uses

Container glass manufacturing is an energy-intensive process that involves melting raw materials at extreme heat followed by forming and multiple finishing processes. The table below outlines major energy using processes.\(^5\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use / Process</th>
<th>Share of Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batch (raw material) preparation</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting and refining furnaces</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forming</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finishing (tempering, coating, etc.)</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furnaces used to melt and refine raw materials into glass are designed to operate continuously for 10 to 15 years before stopping for major maintenance and rebuilding. Consequently, significant efficiency improvements to furnaces usually occur only during rebuilds. Increasing the use of cullet (recycled glass), which requires much less energy to melt than other raw materials, will improve efficiency. In furnaces, smaller efficiency gains can be made by optimizing oxygen levels, upgrading burners, and increasing insulation. Compressed air is used extensively in the forming and finishing phases, making it a focus area for energy management.

### ENERGY STAR Resources

The ENERGY STAR Glass Manufacturing Focus, a collaborative effort between EPA and the industry, has developed the following materials for energy efficiency in container glass plants:

- **Energy Performance Indicator (EPI):** Benchmarks and rates plant energy performance.
- **Energy Guide:** Technical guidance on energy saving opportunities.

### ENERGY STAR Certified Plants

EPA’s ENERGY STAR program certifies plants that demonstrate energy performance in the top quartile nationally. Since 2010, 8 plants have earned ENERGY STAR certification by scoring 75 or higher using the Container Glass Plant EPI.

### Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions

Direct GHG emissions from container glass plants are produced by fuel use and the chemical reactions in the glass making process. Forty-four plants reported direct emissions to EPA’s Greenhouse Gas reporting program in 2013, totaling over 3.2 million metric tons of CO\(_2\)e (MMTCO\(_2\)e).\(^6\) As shown below, emissions ranged from 136,256 to 6,639* metric tons of CO\(_2\)e (mtCO\(_2\)e) and averaged around 73,146 mtCO\(_2\)e.\(^6\)

### Direct Emissions Distribution

![Direct Emissions Distribution](image)

Indirect emissions from electricity purchases were approximately 1.8 MMTCO\(_2\)e in 2013.\(^7\)

Total GHG emissions from container glass plants were approximately 5 MMTCO\(_2\)e in 2013.\(^7,8\) Direct emissions from fuel use (natural gas) is the largest source, as shown in the graphic below.

### GHG Emissions by Source

![GHG Emissions by Source](image)

- **Electricity:** 37%
- **Fuels:** 45%
- **Process emissions:** 18%
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