



ENERGY STAR®

Data Center Storage

Version 1.0

Stakeholder Webinar
Supplementary Data Set Development

23 February 2011

Agenda



- Recap EPA Announcement re: Secondary Data
- Opportunity for Discussion & Comments from Industry
- Review Timeline & Next Steps

Objective



- Augment current data set (17 products, 42 data points) with a 2nd round of tests, using a consistent test method.

# Systems (# Configs)	Online	Near-online	Removable Media Library	Virtual Media Library
Group 2	--	–	1 (2)	2 (2)
Group 3	5 (7)	–	2 (10)	--
Group 4	5 (19)	1 (1)	1 (1)	--

Taxonomy of Interest



- Online Systems
 - SNIA Taxonomy Categories 2, 3, 4
- Removable Media Libraries
 - SNIA Taxonomy Categories 2, 3, 4

Single-Variable Changes



- Further understand relationship between hardware/software configuration and energy performance in both active and idle states.
- Narrow focus to key variables from Round 1:
 - Hard Disk Drive (HDD) selection (e.g., capacity vs. performance)
 - Reliability-Availability-Serviceability (RAS) features (e.g., single vs. redundant controllers, RAID level)
 - Small Form Factor (SFF) and Solid State Disk (SSD) drive technologies
 - Software features ON/OFF
 - Other variables as resources permit

Areas of Interest



- Variation Across Taxonomy Categories
 - Assess performance of Online Group 2, Removable Media Library Group 2, or Removable Media Library Group 4 systems, for which no data has been submitted to date.
 - Compare Online Group 3 & 4 systems, Removable Media Group 2, 3, and 4 systems.
- Effect of Drive Quantity
 - Change HDD quantity versus a fixed number of controllers on an otherwise equivalent system.
 - Preferably vary drive quantity in multiples of 2 (e.g., test with N , $2N$, and $4N$, $8N$ drives).

Areas of Interest



- Effect of Drive Technology
 - Drive speed (e.g., 7200 vs. 15k RPM) and Small Form Factor (SFF) / Solid State Drives (SSD) versus traditional drive technologies on an otherwise equivalent system.
- Effect of RAS Features (hardware or software)
 - RAS features vs. lack of RAS features on an otherwise equivalent system.
- Isolation of Controller vs. Drawer PSUs
 - Measure loading of individual power supplies (or groups of power supplies) within a system.

Product Family Approaches



- Continue to pursue a meaningful Product Family definition to ensure program integrity with minimal test burden
- Use data to validate one of the following possibilities:
 - Book-ending: Test 'smallest' and 'largest' configuration (based on one or more key variables) as boundaries for ENERGY STAR qualification
 - N and xN: Test a given configuration with N and 2N (or xN) of a key variable, then extrapolate qualification to smaller or larger configurations

Simulation Data



- EPA will consider simulated (modeled) data to reduce test burden:
 - Simulators must be robust and capable of identifying meaningful differences in energy performance
 - If simulated data is provided, all tested systems should be run through the simulator to assess simulator accuracy

Discussion



Questions?

Timeline



- PSU data may still be submitted for consideration
- Additional test or simulator data received by **April 22, 2011** will be considered by EPA
- Data will be analyzed and results in late April. EPA will make anonymous data available to stakeholders for concurrent analysis
- The 2nd draft product specification will be distributed for comment in May

Contact Information



- *Una Song (US EPA)*
 - song.una@epa.gov // 202.343.9024
- *RJ Meyers (US EPA)*
 - meyers.robert@epa.gov // 202.343.9923
- *Steve Pantano (ICF)*
 - spantano@icfi.com // 202.862.1551
- *Al Thomason (TBWC)*
 - thomasonw@gmail.com

More Info:

<http://www.energystar.gov/NewSpecs>