

Criteria/ Test Method	Item	Comments
Criteria	1.Definitions, A), 1), a), (1), (b)	We would like to request you to change 2.3 megapixels to 1.9 megapixels, because we think 1.9 magapixels is sufficiently regarded as enhanced performance monitors.
Criteria	1.Definitions, A), 1), a), (1), (a)	We would like to know how EPA-recognized certification bodies check that products meet "A contrast ratio of at least 60:1 at horizontal viewing angles of at least 85°. For example, - Do EPA-recognized certification bodies measure a contrast ratio and viewing angles of product ? - Do EPA-recognized certification bodies confirm whether products meet "A contrast ratio of at least 60:1 at horizontal viewing angles of at least 85° " as they hear product specifications from manufacturers?
Criteria	3.4.2	e.g. We understand Pdn is 1.2W (=0.7W +0.5W), when a product has DisplayPort and USB 2.x. Is our understanding right?
Criteria	3.4.2	We think it is better to add the following explanations in the specification of USB, because the power consumption of USB depends on it's speed. ex) USB1.X ---> USB1.X: Low Speed/Full Speed USB2.X ---> USB2.X: High Speed USB3.X ---> USB3.X: Ultra Speed
Criteria	3.7.1	Only four exemptions are granted in this requirement for displays. Yet generally another exemptions are necessary, such as "Lead in high melting temperature solders" and "Mercury in cold cathode fluorescent lamps (CCFL)". Considering the above, the exemptions should be completely consistent with those of EU RoHS directive.
Test Method	7.4	We would like to request that ON Mode testing for a product with ABC enabled by default should be tested with ABC Enabled only. In other words, we would like to request you to delete 7.4 E. This concept is very simple and the same as EnergyStar version 5.1.