Email received on October 5, 2010 from Jeff Ting.

Dear Energy Star representative:

I was reviewing the recently released Draft 2 Version 1.0 and would like to offer the following comments:

We noticed that the off-state power consumption for SSL device was raised from 0.5 watts to 1 watt because industry input received stated that this was not feasible. We would like to argue and say that it is feasible. Our Energy Star certified SSL devices are able to consume less than 0.5 watts off state and we use motion controls and photocontrols. There are also several commercially available photocontrol devices that consume zero watts in the offstate.

Regards,

Jeff Ting, PE
Global Standards Manager
EML Technologies LLC