Email received August 30, 2008

Dear Sirs,

I support your policy of requiring all LED street lights to be fully shielded. Lighting only the target and not shining light upward nor sideways where it does no good and wastes energy is the best policy for cities and counties to enact.

In addition, there are human health risks associated with eye exposure to light at night. Public officials need to know about this landmark study.

On-line abstract: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/content~content=a790773188~db=ai~order=page

Epidemiologists, including Dr Richard Stevens (U of CT), whose hypothesis originally suggested that light at night (LAN) could be linked as a cause of breast cancer, correlated the light brightness over 147 communities in Israel to breast cancer rates in those towns and cities.

There was a direct correlation. The brighter the lights as measured by the US Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) satellites, the higher the incidence of breast cancer. There was NO correlation with female lung cancer rates. The authors did their very best to control for several variables (1).

Results: When the brightest communities were compared to the darkest communities, the cities with the brightest lights had a 73% higher rate of breast cancer.

This is the first large scale population study to link light pollution with breast cancer. It is a landmark study, and similar studies should follow.

Combine these data with the papers by Schernhammer and others (2,3,) who showed that night shift workers have higher incidences of breast and colorectal cancers, and the evidence for LAN (light pollution) as a public health issue becomes very convincing (4).

Pioneering human blood and rat studies by Blask et al (5) confirm that low melatonin blood from nurses exposed to LAN allows human breast cancer cells to grow faster in rats than high melatonin blood from nurses allowed to sleep in the dark. Melatonin is an anti-cancer (oncostatic) hormone, and we need the darkness at night for melatonin to be produced by the pineal gland.


Safeguarding public health should become the most compelling reason to control the splay of bad outdoor lighting, and should be priority-one for all civic leaders.
The USA is woefully behind in coming to grips with the LAN-cancer connection. One must assume that the lighting industry wishes it had never heard of these findings. The breast cancer research community has also failed to take these data seriously. It appears they have missed a major environmental cause for breast cancer. We need to do better.

Stephen M Pauley MD FACS
Retired, Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery
Dark Sky Advocate
PO Box 3759
Ketchum, ID 83340 (208) 622-8171
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