Page	Line	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table	Comment type (Technical / Editorial)	Comment	Requested Change
**ENERGY STAR SHEMS Final Draft Version 1.0 Partner Commitments**
1	No Line #s	Section 1.1 5)	Editorial	This section needs clarity. The EPA cannot dictate what a vendor will priortize or pro-actively offer and market. However, we do agree that an EPS SHEMS partner should be held accountable to make best efforts to support the overall SHEMS program to key target markets.	Rewrite section 5) to be the following......."As a partner, SHEMS vendors will make best efforts to prioritize and target market the ENERGY STAR SHEMS certified offerings over other similar or non-conforming offerings. The Energy STAR certified SHEMS package must be marketed distinctly from other packages such as security, entertainment, or wellness."
**ENERGY STAR SHEMS Final Draft Version 1.0 Specification**
2	37		Editorial	Section 1.1 7) doesn't exist in the Partner Commitments document?	Did you mean to reference sections 5) and 6) in section 1.1 of the Partner Commitments document?
2	59		Editorial	Sentence is missing a verb.	Add the word "is" "…… A hub which **is** necessary for the operation……"
7	232		Editorial/ Technical	Identifying and suggesting energy savings events and actions to promote energy savings doesn't need to be based on both occupancy information AND machine learning. It could be done with one or the other, or both. In other words, machine learning should not be required for a service-suggested trigger.	Match the soft trigger wording from line 229 to line 232 "….based on occupancy information and **possibly** machine learning……."