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• Ryan Fogle – EPA, Data Center Storage Product Lead
• John Clinger – ICF
Review of Specification Development Cycle
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• SNIA Taxonomy and Impact on Definitions and Scope
• Internal Power Supply Requirements
• Power Modeling Requirements
• Active Requirements for Transaction Optimized Systems
• Active Requirements for Streaming Optimized Systems
• Inlet Air Temperature Measurement Requirements
• SNIA Emerald V4
• Timeline
SNIA Taxonomy

- EPA is aligning with SNIA definitions on the following:
  - Storage Taxonomy
  - Disk Set Online Storage
  - Disk Set Near-Online Storage
  - RVML Set Virtual Media Library
  - RVML Set Removable Media Library
  - NVSS Set Disk Access Online Storage
  - NVSS Set Memory Access Online Storage

- EPA has also removed obsolete definitions for Adjunct Storage Products and Interconnect Elements.
New SNIA Taxonomy’s Impact On Scope

• In scope of Draft 2:
  – Disk Set Online 2, 3, 4
  – NVSS Set Disk Access Online 2, 3, 4

• Out of scope of Draft 2:
  – Disk Set Near-Online
  – RVML Set Removable Media Library
  – RVML Set Virtual Media Library
  – NVSS Set Memory Access Online

• Aligning ENERGY STAR Version 2.0 with the taxonomy from SNIA Emerald Version 4 ensures continued clarity in product scope.
Internal Power Supply Requirements

- Stakeholders expressed that the 80Plus levels for 230V Redundant Power Supplies should apply to both single and multi-output power supplies. In Draft 1, the multi-output requirement references the 80Plus Gold 230V Non-Redundant level.

- EPA agrees with maintaining consistency between the two types of IPS output options and has proposed the following revised values in Draft 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power Supply Type</th>
<th>Rated Output Power</th>
<th>20% Load</th>
<th>50% Load</th>
<th>100% Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-output (Ac-Dc)</td>
<td>All Output Levels</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-output (Ac-Dc)</td>
<td>All Output Levels</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Power Modeling Requirement

• EPA received feedback stating that this section was no longer relevant as certifications with modeled data are no longer allowed.

• EPA agrees and has removed this section in Draft 2.

• EPA would like to know if maintaining some sort of expectation for providing a customer with basic tools and/or information on how much energy their specific configuration will use is a reasonable requirement to apply to all certified storage products?
Active Requirements for Transaction Optimized Systems

- Stakeholders shared a concern that the Draft 1 approach for transaction systems is overly harsh to systems comprised of only 7.2k HDDs.
- It is expected that sales of 15k and 10k drives will shrink and that more systems will only be offered with SSD and 7.2k HDDs in the near future.
  - The Draft 1 approach forces 7.2k HDD testing if no 10k or 15k HDDs are offered as HDDs cannot be mixed with SSDs, meaning a system that could meet the requirements as it is may be typically shipped could not meet the requirements as the testing guidance in the specification requires.
- EPA is aware that hybrid systems using SSDs along with 7.2k HDDs can be very efficient for certain transaction workloads.
Active Requirements for Transaction Optimized Systems

• Rather than create separate levels for 7.2k, 10k and 15k HDDs as industry recommended, knowing that 10k and 15k systems are going to become less relevant in the life of Version 2, EPA has proposed the following instead:
  
  – The current level has been raised from 20 IOPS/watt to 28 IOPS/watt, continuing to eliminate any products using only 7.2k HDDs and number of 10k and 15k only HDD products as well.
  
  – Any transaction configuration can test with SSDs for certification if desired. The ratio and number of HDDs to SSDs is selected by manufacturers to meet the optimal point criteria for that product family, but the SSD portion of the system cannot exceed 30% of the addressable capacity of the product unless the product is only sold with SSDs.
Active Requirements for Transaction Optimized Systems

• Any configurations within the family shipped as ENERGY STAR also have the meet the requirement of 28 IOPS/watt.

• Targets systems that EPA wants to highlight as efficient on the market
  – Primarily HDD/SDD hybrid and full SSD transaction products

• The most efficient 10k and/or 15k HDD only based systems will still be able to certify
Active Requirements for Streaming Optimized Systems

- EPA also received feedback stating that the 7.2k HDDs have a very hard time meeting the streaming levels in Draft 1.
- EPA has reviewed the details of the feedback and has proposed to only focus on the data available for 7.2k HDDs for level setting of streaming optimized products in Draft 2.
  - EPA recognizes that the 10k and 15k submissions are not relevant as they would likely not be purchased by actual customers, so they artificially increased the requirements in Draft 1.
  - 7.2k HDDs are a traditional solution for streaming workloads and EPA has no desire to force a greater number of higher performance storage devices to address a given capacity that is not as performance dependent by its nature.
Active Requirements for Streaming Optimized Systems

• As such, EPA has proposed to retain the Draft 1 streaming level structure but lower the requirements to 2.3 MiBS/watt for sequential read and 1.5 MiBS/watt for sequential write.

Table 3: Active State Requirements for Block I/O Storage Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload Type</th>
<th>Specific Workload Test</th>
<th>Minimum Performance/Watt Ratio</th>
<th>Applicable Units of Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transaction</td>
<td>Hot Band</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>IOPS/watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streaming</td>
<td>Sequential Read</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>MiBS/watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streaming</td>
<td>Sequential Write</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>MiBS/watt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• EPA feels the resulting change provides sufficient consumer choice in streaming optimized products relying on 7.2k HDDs, but still offers meaningful differentiation across the workload type for real world configurations.
Inlet Air Temperature Requirements

- EPA has removed the obsolete “optional” language present in Draft 1.
- EPA agrees with industry’s proposal that the inlet air temperature should only be required to be reported by the controller chassis in Version 2.0 and has proposed it in Draft 2.
SNIA Emerald Version 4

• EPA intends to update the ENERGY STAR Data Center Storage Test Method to reference the latest version of the SNIA Emerald V4 measurement specification

• This updated test method will be released for review with the Final Draft specification.
Timeline and Next Steps

• EPA expects Final Draft will release in Q2 2020
  – Will publish the expected effective date with the Final Draft.

• EPA expects the final specification to be published no later than Q2 of 2020 with an effective date nine months after finalization
Any Final Questions?
Discussion Guide Comment Deadline

• Send written feedback to storage@ energystar.gov

Comment Deadline

Friday, March 20, 2020
Thank You!

• Questions on specification development:
  Ryan Fogle  
  EPA ENERGY STAR  
  (202) 343-9153  
  Fogle.Ryan@epa.gov  
  and  
  John Clinger  
  ICF  
  (215) 967-9407  
  John.Clinger@icf.com  

• Additional questions can be directed to storage@energystar.gov