

From: [David Stark](mailto:David.Stark@energystar.gov)
To: windows@energystar.gov
Cc: Howard@EverSealed.com; richard@EverSealed.com
Subject: Proposed Energy Star Changes
Date: Wednesday, August 01, 2012 4:55:43 PM

I believe you are selling out to the lobbying efforts of the big players in the IG and window industries and trade associations. The purpose of Energy Star is to raise the bar on energy efficiency. You can no longer use the excuse that there's no way to get to a U-0.2 window when major equipment providers spent their resources to design, produce and supply the back end of IG lines to make triples, and numerous well-known and not well-known window companies invested financial resources to tool up and start supplying triple-glazed windows so they could meet what they thought would be the requirements in Version 6.

Why did the DOE fund GED to bring an IG line to the marketplace to produce low-cost triples? Why did the DOE fund TRACO to bring U-0.2 extruded-aluminum commercial windows to the marketplace? What's the justification for volume window purchasers like major homebuilders and non-residential building developers to buy and install U-0.2 or lower windows in new construction when they can meet Energy Star with old technology windows?

When are we going to have a reason to make and supply better windows that come somewhere close to the efficiency of European suppliers?

Regards,
David Stark

President and CTO
EverSealed Windows, Inc.
1999 Interlocken Drive
Evergreen, CO 80439-8952

Ph: (303) 674-1197

Fax: (303) 670-1249

David@EverSealed.com

www.EverSealed.com