



CORRESPONDENCE

Dean P. Stanley

VP, Engineering

---

**TO:** Rebecca Duff (ICF International)

**CC:** David Zabrowski (FSTC)

**DATE:** 2/20/09

**RE:** ENERGY STAR Griddle Meeting Follow-Up AccuTemp Comments

I was very pleased with the preparation you and Rachel made for the meeting as well as the participation from my competitive colleagues. There were a lot of good exchanges that related to the betterment of the specification and fairness to the industry, rather than anyone complaining about their own situation specifically. Given this sentiment, I have the following notes and comments to submit. These relate primarily to the performance bars that were published in the Draft 1 specification that should be changed per the griddle meeting conversations.

- 1) Rachel Schmeltz admitted that the lower end performing products were not well represented in the datasets.
- 2) Dean Stanley recommended that some professional judgment (i.e., personnel from the FSTC or other test labs) be applied to the datasets to adjust the data due to the fact that the lower end performing products were not well represented.
- 3) During the meeting, Don Fisher (FSTC), provided his subjective and qualitative view of the electric griddle dataset, by recommending that relaxing the normalized idle energy rate to 350 kW/ft<sup>2</sup>, would allow another three manufacturers (who already met the cooking energy efficiency requirement) to achieve the normalized idle energy rate.
- 4) Again, during the meeting, Don Fisher (FSTC) and Eric Kirchhoff (Sempra Energy), provided their subjective and qualitative views of the gas griddle dataset, by recommending that relaxing the normalized idle energy rate to 2650 BTU/h/ft<sup>2</sup>, would allow another manufacturer (who had the highest cooking energy efficiency) to achieve the normalized idle energy rate.
- 5) With the relaxations of the idle energy rates to the above values, for both electric and gas categories, a relatively tight group of griddles met the ENERGY STAR requirements, while the rest of the population was a much greater distance away from meeting the requirements. This method accomplishes the objective stated in Item 2 above.

If you have any questions regarding my notes and comments, please contact me. I look forward to your release of the Draft 2 specification, on or about 2/27/09.

Best Regards,  
Dean Stanley