

From: DAEEdmondsS@aol.com  
To: richard.karney@ee.doe.gov  
Sent: 02/12/2003 02:32 PM

Subject: ENERGY STAR Criteria

We have reviewed the Options described in the e-mail distributed to ENERGY STAR PARTNERS February 11.

It is clearly evident that the fair, logical and defensible choice is the FOUR-ZONE alternative. The "concerns" are minimal as compared to the injustice to the glass producers, the window manufacturers and the window retailers in the CENTRAL ZONE in the THREE-ZONE alternative.

The FOUR ZONE plan should realize a significant improvement in energy usage conservation and control. It balances the interests of consumers and producers with the aims and goals of your agency. Future advances in technology will, in turn, further help achieve energy efficiency.

Please record our support to the FOUR-ZONE alternative as described in your transmission.

David A. Edmonds, Exec. V.P.  
Galaxy Mfg. Company of C.N.Y., Inc.