

# Energy Star MOU4 Proposal

# Introduction

- Energy Star MOU4 Draft outlines the many remaining challenges to address
- Industry needs flexibility to meet the challenges
  - To allow innovation
  - To control cost
  - To work within our business practices and plans
- Following proposal attempts to streamline the process by leveraging the common platform characteristics of desktop and workstation computers
- Note: all examples used in this proposal are for illustrative purposes and in no way account for all concerned manufacturer platforms

# The Challenges with the Existing Draft

- Platforms are difficult to quantify in terms of types
- One “size” does not fit all, but how many “sizes” are enough?
  - Basic vs. High-Performance Desktop?
  - Enthusiast-Gamer Desktop vs. 1-Socket Workstation?
  - 2-Socket and 4-Socket Workstations?
  - Entertainment PCs vs. Set Top Boxes?
  - Game Consoles?
  - Desktop-Discrete Servers vs. Desktop?
- Performance benchmarking is an open-ended question
  - What type of benchmark(s) guarantees fairness?
  - How many benchmarks are appropriate to cover all markets?
  - How long will it take to specify and develop? How much will it cost?
  - How often will the benchmarks need to be updated for new operating systems, new technology trends, new E\* specifications, etc., ...?

# Proposal

- Equate performance to the quantity of compute resources in the platform itself and derive idle, sleep, and standby values from the configured system
- Use the following base components to form an idle equation
  - Number of CPU Sockets
  - Number of CPU Cores
  - Total Installed Platform Memory (GB) – includes system and graphics buffer memory
  - Installed Hard Disk Drive Storage (GB)
    - Installed versus user accessible in the case of RAID
    - Alternative approach is to use number of physical drives
  - Number of Graphics Processors
  - Power Supply Rating (W)
- Apply weighted factors to each term to eliminate any one term from dominating
  - Weighted factors account for manufacturing tolerances and variance
  - Factors are holistic in nature versus applying directly to the correlated component
    - Ex. A bigger power supply is required to support a higher performing CPU. The CPU is required to match the requirement for more system memory in order to run a particular application effectively.
- Derive Sleep and Standby values as percentages of Idle
- Set the weighted factors appropriately to meet program goals – ex. 40% inclusion in 2007
- Use these base components to define platform configurations manufacturers must test to use E\* label.

# Formulas

$$\text{Idle (W)} = \alpha * \text{Pwr Supply Rating(W)} + \\ \beta * \text{Platform Memory(GB)} + \theta * \text{Graphics Processors(no)} + \\ \gamma * \text{CPU Cores(no)} + \zeta * \text{CPU Sockets(no)} + \\ \rho * \text{Storage(GB)}$$

$$\text{Sleep (W)} = 5W < \text{Idle Level} * 10\% < 10 W$$

$$\text{Standby (W)} = 2W < \text{Idle Level} * 4\% < 3W$$

- $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta, \zeta,$  and  $\rho$  represent weighted factors for each component class. See next slide for examples

# Example Data

Pwr Supply (W) $\alpha = 7.5\%$	System Memory (GB) $\beta = 10$	Graphics Processors (number) $\theta = 10$	CPU Cores (number) $\gamma = 10$	CPU Sockets (number) $\zeta = 5$	Storage (GB) $\rho = 5\%$	Idle (W)	Sleep (W)	Standby (W)
200W	512MB (0.5GB)	1	1	1	60GB	48W	5W	2W
300W	512MB (0.5GB)	1	1	1	80GB	57W	5.7W	2.3W
350W	1GB	1	2	1	120GB	67W	6.7W	2.7W
400W	1.125GB	1	2	1	160GB	84W	8.4W	3W
450W	2.5GB	2	2	1	400GB	124W	10W	3W
600W	4.5GB	2	4	2	400GB	180W	10W	3W

# 80-Plus Power Supply Compromise

- 80-Plus, PFC power supplies will not be possible for all manufacturers in 2007
- One compromise may be to add a 7<sup>th</sup> term to the idle equation for 2007 that rewards manufacturers who implement 80-Plus.
- In 2008 or beyond the term could be phased out to reflect EPA's desire for a more challenging specification in the future.

Example – provide 5W allowance using 80-Plus during idle in 2007:

$$\text{Idle (W)} = \alpha * \text{Pwr Supply Rating(W)} + \beta * \text{Platform Memory(GB)} + \\ \theta * \text{Graphics Processors(no)} + \gamma * \text{CPU Cores(no)} + \\ \zeta * \text{CPU Sockets(no)} + \rho * \text{Storage(GB)} + \\ \mathbf{5W * (80Plus == yes)}$$

- While it may seem counter intuitive to provide more idle power, EPA data shows attributable savings to the utility providers with PFC supplies
- Provides industry the option to implement in 2007 with an incentive to qualify more products

# Conclusion

- An idle equation based on weighted factors and component values is a straight-forward, holistic method to define platforms and manufacturer test requirements
- The equation method indirectly reflects cost and performance without actual hard classifications and benchmarks being defined
- Method tracks to a wide range of platform types by sliding continuously along the interval between basic desktops and high-end workstations
- Weighted factors eliminate “gaming” the system by not relying on a single factor
- Future Energy-Star specifications can direct our energies at just tuning the factors appropriately for the market conditions